IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION 1:08CV526-MU-02

| GEOR | GE W. BLACKWELL, |) | |
|------------|------------------|---|-------|
| Plaintiff, | |) | |
| | |) | |
| | v. |) | ORDER |
| | |) | |
| BOYD | BENNETT, et al., |) | |
| | Defendants. |) | |
| | |) | |

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Voluntary Dismissal, filed February 18, 2009 (document # 32); on Plaintiff and Defendant Yuan's Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice, filed March 6, 2009 (document # 38).

Plaintiff initially filed his Complaint in the Superior

Court of Burke County; however, this action was removed to this

Court by Defendants on November 19, 2008. Since the time of that

removal, numerous Motions have been filed by the parties. Most

notably, Plaintiff has filed a Motion for Voluntary Dismissal

seeking a dismissal without prejudice as to every Defendant

except Dr. Yuan. As to Defendant Yuan, Plaintiff stipulates to a

dismissal with prejudice. Thus, Plaintiff's action will be

dismissed with prejudice as to Defendant Yuan.

Furthermore, the Court carefully has reviewed Plaintiff's
Complaint and observed that to the extent he is alleging a claim
that Defendants Bennett, Beck, Anderson, Teague and Tony Smith
have violated his constitutional rights by failing to award him

with disability-based sentence reduction credits, that claim sounds in habeas and is not cognizable in this civil rights action. Therefore, Plaintiff's third claim also will be dismissed with prejudice as to the Defendants named therein.

Additionally, the Court notes that Plaintiff cannot state a cause of action against Defendant Bennett merely by alleging that he was aware of Plaintiff's efforts to secure additional medical treatment for his complaints of continued medical problems.

Therefore, this action will be <u>dismissed with prejudice</u> as to Defendant Bennet.

Finally, pursuant to his Motion, Plaintiff's action will be dismissed without prejudice as to Defendants Paula Smith and Phillip Stover.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is **DISMISSED without prejudice** as to Defendants Paula Smith, M.D. and Phillip Stover, M.D.;
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion for a Voluntary Dismissal and his
 Stipulation of Dismissal with prejudice as to Defendant Yuan
 (document ## 31 and 38) is GRANTED;
- 3. Plaintiff's Complaint also is **DISMISSED with prejudice** as to Defendants Bennett, Beck, Anderson, Teague, and Tony Smith;
- 4. Defendant Smith's Motion for Extension of Time, filed November 20, 2008 (document # 4) is **GRANTED**, nunc pro tunc;
 - 5. Plaintiff's Motion for a Change of Venue, filed December

22, 2008 (document # 16); his Motion for Voluntary Discovery, filed December 22, 2008 (document # 17); former Defendant Yuan's Motion for Protective Order, filed January 7, 2009 (document # 18); Plaintiff's Motion to Amend, filed January 7, 2009 (document # 22); Defendants P. Smith and Stover's Motion for Protective Order, filed January 15, 2009 (document # 25); Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed February 12, 2009 (document # 29); Plaintiff's Motion for Discovery, filed February 12, 2009 (document # 30); Defendants P. Smith and Stover's Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed February 20, 2009 (document # 33); former Defendant Yuan's Motion for Extension of Time to file Response/Reply, filed February 24, 2009 (document # 34); and Defendants P. Smith and Stover's Motion for Summary Judgment, filed April 15, 2009 (document # 39) all are DISMISSED as moot.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: October 7, 2009

Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge